



THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF TRUST AND ESTATE COUNSEL

McPherson Building  
901 15th Street, NW, Suite 525  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 684-8460 • Fax (202) 684-8459  
www.actec.org

*Board of Regents*

*Please Address Reply to:*

*President*  
CHARLES D. FOX IV  
Charlottesville, Virginia

*President-Elect*  
JOHN A. TERRILL II  
West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania

*Vice President*  
STEPHEN R. AKERS  
Dallas, Texas

*Treasurer*  
ANN B. BURNS  
Minneapolis, Minnesota

*Secretary*  
ROBERT W. GOLDMAN  
Naples, Florida

*Immediate Past President*  
SUSAN T. HOUSE  
Pasadena, California

GERRY W. BEYER  
Lubbock, Texas

LORA L. BROWN  
Seattle, Washington

ELAINE M. BUCHER  
Boca Raton, Florida

MICKEY R. DAVIS  
Houston, Texas

LAUREN Y. DETZEL  
Orlando, Florida

TERRENCE M. FRANKLIN  
Los Angeles, California

CHRISTOPHER H. GADSDEN  
Wayne, Pennsylvania

KEITH BRADOC GALLANT  
New Haven, Connecticut

STEVEN B. GORIN  
St. Louis, Missouri

LYNNE K. GREEN  
Jackson, Mississippi

DAN W. HOLBROOK  
Knoxville, Tennessee

NANCY C. HUGHES  
Birmingham, Alabama

AMY K. KANYUK  
Concord, New Hampshire

BETH SHAPIRO KAUFMAN  
Washington, District of Columbia

TRENT S. KIZIAH  
Los Angeles, California

LAIRD A. LILE  
Naples, Florida

STEPHANIE LOOMIS-PRICE  
Houston, Texas

THOMAS N. MASLAND  
Concord, New Hampshire

C. KEVIN McCRINDLE  
Waterloo, Iowa

NANCY McLAUGHLIN  
Salt Lake City, Utah

PETER T. MOTT  
Southport, Connecticut

THOMAS L. OVERBEY  
Fayetteville, Arkansas

NANCY SCHMIDT ROUSH  
Kansas City, Missouri

MARGARET E.W. SAGER  
West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania

LYNN B. SASSIN  
Baltimore, Maryland

BARBARA A. SLOAN  
New York, New York

SUSAN D. SNYDER  
Chicago, Illinois

KURT A. SOMMER  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

JAMES D. SPRATT JR.  
Atlanta, Georgia

DALE B. STONE  
Birmingham, Alabama

ROBERT E. TEMMERMAN JR.  
San Jose, California

MARGARET VAN HOUTEN  
Des Moines, Iowa

RANDALL M.L. YEE  
Honolulu, Hawaii

July 2, 2018

Internal Revenue Service  
CC:PA:LPD:PR (Notice 2018-37)  
Room 5203  
Post Office Box 7604  
Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, D.C. 20044

Submitted electronically at [Comments@irsconsult.treas.gov](mailto:Comments@irsconsult.treas.gov)

Re: Comments on guidance in connection with the Repeal of Section 682

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen,

The American College of Trust and Estate Counsel (“ACTEC”) is pleased to submit comments pursuant to Notice 2018-37, 2018-18 I.R.B. 392, released on April 13, 2018. The Notice requests comments on whether guidance is needed regarding the application of Sections 672(e)(1)(A), 674(d), and 677 of the Internal Revenue Code following a divorce or legal separation, in light of the repeal of Code Section 682.

Notice 2018-37 states that the Department of the Treasury and the Internal Revenue Service intend to issue regulations providing clarification of the application of the effective date provisions concerning the repeal of Code Section 682, enacted on December 22, 2017, by P.L. 115-97 (the 2017 Act).

ACTEC is a professional organization of approximately 2,500 lawyers from throughout the United States. Fellows of ACTEC are elected to membership by their peers on the basis of professional reputation and ability in the fields of trusts and estates and on the basis of having made substantial contributions to those fields through lecturing, writing, teaching, and bar activities. Fellows of ACTEC have extensive experience in providing advice to taxpayers on matters of federal taxes, with a focus on estate, gift and GST tax planning, fiduciary income tax planning, and compliance. ACTEC offers technical comments about the law and its effective administration, but does not take positions on matters of policy or political objectives.


ACTEC’s comments and recommendations are set forth in the attached memorandum.

If you or your staff would like to discuss the comments or recommendations, please contact Beth Shapiro Kaufman, Chair of the ACTEC Washington Affairs Committee,

*Executive Director*  
DEBORAH O. MCKINNON

at (202) 862-5062 or [bkaufman@capdale.com](mailto:bkaufman@capdale.com), or Deborah McKinnon, ACTEC Executive Director, at (202) 684-8460 or [domckinnon@actec.org](mailto:domckinnon@actec.org).

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Charles D. Fox IV". The signature is written in a cursive style and is underlined.

Charles D. Fox IV  
President

Enclosures

**COMMENTS OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF TRUST AND ESTATE COUNSEL  
ON APPLICATION OF CODE §§ 672(e)(1)(A), 674(c), 674(d), 676 AND 677  
AFTER THE REPEAL OF CODE § 682**

The American College of Trust and Estate Counsel (ACTEC) is pleased to submit these comments in response to Notice 2018-37, 2018-18 I.R.B. 392, released on April 13, 2018. The Notice requests comments on whether guidance is needed regarding the application of §§ 672(e)(1)(A), 674(d), and 677 of the Internal Revenue Code (Code) following a divorce or legal separation, in light of the repeal of Code § 682.

Notice 2018-37 states that the Department of the Treasury and the Internal Revenue Service intend to issue regulations providing clarification of the application of the effective date provisions concerning the repeal of § 682, enacted on December 22, 2017, by P.L. 115-97 (the 2017 Act).

**BACKGROUND**

Part I of Subchapter J of the Code deals with the income taxation of trusts. The potential income tax consequences for the grantor of a trust are addressed in Subpart E of Subchapter J and in § 682, which is part of Subpart F of Subchapter J. When Subpart E applies to the grantor of a trust, the grantor is treated under § 671 as the owner of a portion or all of a trust and takes into account in computing his or her income tax all of the income, deductions, and credits that are attributable to the portion of the trust treated as owned by him or her.<sup>1</sup>

Section 11051 of the 2017 Act repealed § 682, effective for taxpayers whose divorce or separation instruments are executed after December 31, 2018.

**Code § 682.** As in effect prior to the 2017 Act, § 682 provided rules describing how the income<sup>2</sup> of a trust is taxed if it is payable to a spouse or a former spouse of the trust's grantor from whom the taxpayer is divorced or legally separated under a decree of divorce or separate maintenance or under a written separation agreement.<sup>3</sup> Under § 682 this income was includible in the gross income of the grantor's former spouse and was not includible in the grantor's gross income, despite any other provision of Subtitle A of the Internal Revenue Code, including the normal rules of Subpart E.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> A trust that is treated as owned by its grantor is generally referred to as a "grantor trust." Subpart E, § 678 also treats some trust beneficiaries who have or had unilateral withdrawal rights over trust property as the owner of a portion or all of a trust. The issues discussed in these comments could affect these beneficiaries in a manner similar to the way they affect grantors. For convenience, these comments refer to any affected taxpayer as the "grantor" of the trust.

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of Subpart E of Subchapter J, Treas. Reg. § 1.671-2(b) provides that "income" means taxable income and not only income for fiduciary accounting purposes. It is unclear what the term income means for purposes of former § 682, which was in Subpart F of Subchapter J.

<sup>3</sup> References in these comments to "former spouse" include existing spouses from whom the grantor is separated under a decree of separate maintenance or a written separation agreement.

<sup>4</sup> Treas. Reg. § 1.682(a)-1(a)(1) provides "under section 682(a) income of a trust— (i) [w]hich is paid, credited, or required to be distributed to the wife in a taxable year of the wife, and (ii) [w]hich, except for the provisions of section 682, would be includible in the gross income of her husband, is includible in her gross income and is not includible in his gross income."

Code § 682(b) also applied for purposes of computing the taxable income of the trust and the taxable income of the grantor's former spouse to whom § 682(a) applied. It provided that the grantor's former spouse was considered the beneficiary of the trust for purposes of Part I of Subchapter J (§§ 641-685).

The predecessor of § 682 was § 171 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 (the "1939 Code"). It entered the Code as part of the Revenue Act of 1942. The legislation also provided an income tax deduction for the periodic payments a husband made to his wife under a decree of divorce or separate maintenance in discharge of a legal obligation imposed on him because of the marital relationship ("alimony"). It required the wife to include the alimony payments in her gross income.<sup>5</sup>

The alimony inclusion provision, § 22(k) of the 1939 Code, also required a wife who received periodic payments attributable to property transferred in trust or otherwise to include the payments received in her gross income if the property was transferred in discharge of an obligation imposed on the husband on account of the marital relationship. Payments so included would be excluded from the gross income of the husband.

The narrow purpose of § 171 was to give the husband who had created a trust or was the beneficiary of a trust created before his divorce or separation the income from which was payable to his wife the same tax treatment that § 22(k) gave the husband who created a trust in connection with his divorce.<sup>6</sup> It required the wife who was divorced or legally separated from her husband under a decree of divorce or separate maintenance to include in her gross income the amount of income paid to her from any trust that, in the absence of § 171, would have been taxable to the husband. It also provided that the husband could exclude this income from his gross income whether or not the income would ordinarily be taxable to him under §§ 166 or 167 of the 1939 Code or any other provisions of the income tax law.

Sections 166 and 167 were the only provisions of the grantor trust rules that were in existence in 1942. Section 166 was the predecessor of current § 676. Section 167 was the predecessor of § 677. In 1942, neither of these sections was triggered by the existence of an interest in or power over a trust in a grantor's spouse. Each of these sections is discussed more fully below.

Sections 22(k), 23(u) and 171 were imported into the 1954 Code as §§ 71, 215, and 682. The scope of these sections was changed in 1986. One of the changes to § 71 eliminated the requirement that a spouse include in his or her gross income periodic payments from property transferred in discharge of an obligation imposed on the other spouse on account of the marital relationship. It also eliminated the exclusion from gross income that benefitted the other spouse. But § 682 was left in place to fill the gap. Until its repeal, § 682 protected a spouse who would otherwise be taxed on trust income under the grantor trust rules or otherwise from taxation to the extent trust income was payable to his or her former spouse.

---

<sup>5</sup> 1939 Code §§ 22(k) and 23(u). The 1939 Code referred to the payor of alimony as the husband and the recipient as the wife because in 1939 the obligation to make alimony payments was imposed only on the husband.

<sup>6</sup> J. Seidman, Legislative History of Federal Income Tax and Excess Profits Tax Laws 1953-1939, 1275-85 (1954).

The 2017 Act repealed § 682, as well as § 215, the section that permitted a taxpayer to deduct his or her alimony payments made to the other spouse. One of the conforming amendments to the repeal of the alimony deduction was the repeal of § 71, the section that required the recipient of alimony payments to include the payments in gross income. The 2017 Act described the repeal of § 682 as an additional conforming amendment to the repeal of § 215.

Given the original purpose of § 682, its repeal in connection with the repeal of the alimony deduction is not surprising. Section 682 was originally intended to protect a grantor from a requirement to include trust income payable to his former wife in his gross income if the trust principal or income could revert to him within the meaning of current §§ 676 or 677. But the scope of the grantor trust rules in Subpart E has expanded substantially since 1942. As a result, the role of § 682 had become more robust. Under current law, the grantor trust rules apply to a trust not only when trust income can be used for the benefit of the grantor, but also when the income can be used for the benefit of the grantor's spouse and when the grantor, the grantor's spouse, or certain others have certain controls over the trust.

**Code § 672(e)(1)(A): the Spousal Unity Rule.** Subpart E of Subchapter J of the 1954 Code as originally enacted referred to the grantor's spouse in only two provisions. Section 672(c) provided that the term "related or subordinate party" includes any nonadverse party who is the *grantor's spouse if living with the grantor*. Section 674(d) addresses the power to control the beneficial enjoyment of the corpus or the income of a trust held by trustees none of whom is the *grantor or spouse living with the grantor*, if the power is limited by a reasonably definite external standard. As described below, amendments to the Code in 1969 and 1986 expanded the circumstances in which the possession of an interest or power by the grantor's spouse triggered the grantor trust rules.

Section 672(e)(1)(A) (the "spousal unity rule") provides that, for purposes of Subpart E of Subchapter J, a grantor is treated as holding any power or interest held by any individual who was the grantor's spouse at the time of the creation of the power or interest. As originally enacted by the Tax Reform Act of 1986, the text of § 672(e) was as follows:

(e) Grantor Treated as Holding Any Power or Interest of Grantor's Spouse.—For purposes of this subpart, if a grantor's spouse is living with the grantor at the time of the creation of any power or interest held by such spouse, the grantor shall be treated as holding such power or interest.

An amendment in the 1988 Act to § 672(e) changed its scope by providing that the rule also applies (1) to any power or interest held by an individual who became the spouse of a grantor after the creation of the power or interest (but only after the marriage), and (2) whether or not the grantor and his or her spouse were living together at the time of the creation of the power or interest. The amendment also provided that the rule does not apply to any power or interest created in the spouse if, at the time of the creation of the power or interest, they are legally separated under a decree of divorce or of separate maintenance.

There are a number of provisions in Subpart E the operation of which depend on whether a particular individual is the grantor's spouse. The impact of § 672(e) on the application of many of

these provisions when that individual is the grantor's former spouse has long been unclear. Section 672(e) itself says nothing about the application of the spousal unity rule if the spouses divorce or legally separate after the creation of the power or interest.

Some commentators believe that § 672(e)(1)(A) is applicable in any situation in which a grantor is treated as the owner of a portion of a trust in Subpart E of Subchapter J on account of a spousal interest or power, even following divorce. The support for this belief is the failure of § 672(e) to address directly the question of whether the spousal unity rule ends when the marriage ends.<sup>7</sup>

The 1988 Act amended two other provisions of Subpart E, §§ 674(c) and 675(3). Section 674(c) addresses the power to control the beneficial enjoyment of the corpus or the income of a trust held by trustees when "none of whom is the grantor." Section 675(3) addresses situations in which the grantor has borrowed the corpus or income of a trust and has not completely repaid the loan before the beginning of the taxable year.

The 1988 Technical Corrections to the Tax Reform Act of 1986 added a sentence to the end of both § 674(c) and § 675(3) providing that "[f]or periods during which an individual is the spouse of the grantor (within the meaning of section 672(e)(2)), any reference in this subsection to the grantor shall be treated as including a reference to such individual." With respect to § 674(c), this language suggests that the spousal unity rule should apply only if the spouses are married during the period of time the grantor's spouse is serving as a trustee. However, as discussed below, § 672(e) could be applied in a manner inconsistent with the sentence added by the 1988 Technical Corrections. The statutes do not resolve this apparent inconsistency. .

As noted above § 674(d) addresses a power to control the beneficial enjoyment of trust income or principal held by trustees none of whom is the grantor or grantor's spouse **living with the grantor**, if the power is limited by a reasonably definite external standard. This provision has not been modified since it became part of Subpart E in 1954 and seems to look to the marital status of a trustee at the time he or she is serving as a trustee.

It seems clear from the language added in 1988 and from § 674(d) that, if an individual is legally separated from his or her spouse under a decree of divorce or of separate maintenance (or not living together with respect to § 674(d)), the spousal unity rule should not be applied for purposes of §§ 674(c), 674(d), and 675(3). This is illustrated by the following examples.

**Example 1.** If a power held by the grantor's spouse prevents the § 674(c) exception to grantor trust treatment under § 674(a) from applying, after the date of the grantor's divorce the fact that the grantor's former spouse continues to hold that power will no longer cause the grantor to be treated as the owner of the trust.

**Example 2.** If a power held by the grantor's spouse who is living with the grantor prevents the § 674(d) exception to grantor trust treatment under § 674(a) from applying, after the date of the grantor's divorce the fact that the grantor's former spouse continues to hold that power will no longer cause the grantor to be treated as the owner of the trust.

---

<sup>7</sup> Professor Jeffrey N. Pennell notes that "[c]uriously, § 672(e) does not indicate whether spousal unity ends when the marriage does." Footnote 36, Chapter 5 of Casner & Pennell, Estate Planning.

**Example 3.** If, after the date of the grantor's divorce, the grantor's former spouse borrows the corpus or income from a trust created by the grantor and has not completely repaid the loan before the beginning of the taxable year, the grantor will not be treated as the owner of the trust by reason of § 675(3).

Because similar amendments were not made to other provisions of Subpart E, the operation of which depend on whether a particular individual is the grantor's spouse, it is not clear whether § 672(e)(1)(A) will cause these provisions to continue to apply after the grantor and the grantor's spouse are divorced. To illustrate, consider the following examples:

**Example 4.** Code § 673 treats the grantor as the owner of any portion of a trust in which the grantor has a reversionary interest in either the corpus or the income if, at the time of the transfer to the trust, the reversionary interest has a value exceeding 5% of the value of the portion that may revert. Because of § 672(e)(1)(A), if the grantor's spouse has a remainder interest in the trust that exceeds 5% of the value of the trust at the time of the transfer to the trust the grantor will be treated as the owner of the trust.<sup>8</sup> Will grantor trust status continue if the grantor and the grantor's spouse are divorced?

**Example 5.** Code §§ 674(a), 675(1) and (2), 676(a), and 677(a) treat the grantor as the owner of any portion of a trust if the grantor or a non-adverse party (or both) have a certain power described in the section. Because of § 672(e)(1)(A), a power described in each of these sections held by the grantor's spouse will trigger grantor trust status whether or not the grantor's spouse has an adverse interest. Will this status continue if the grantor and the grantor's spouse are divorced?

**Example 6.** Code § 674(b)(3), (c), and (d) contain exceptions to the rule creating grantor trust status when nonadverse parties hold a power to alter the beneficial enjoyment of trust property. These exceptions apply for certain powers held by persons other than the grantor. Because of § 672(e)(1)(A), a power held by the grantor's spouse described in one of these sections will be treated as held by the grantor. Will this status continue if the grantor and the grantor's spouse are divorced? In the case of § 674(c) and (d), explicit language limiting the exception to "periods during which the individual is a spouse of the grantor" or periods when the individual is living with the grantor suggest that the spousal unity rule should not apply.

**Example 7.** Code § 677 treats the grantor of a trust as its owner if the grantor or any person other than an adverse party has the power to distribute income to or accumulate income for future distribution to the grantor or the grantor's spouse. Similarly, § 676 treats the grantor

---

<sup>8</sup> In his treatise, *Federal Income Taxation of Estates, Trusts, and Beneficiaries*, Professor Mark L. Ascher notes that § 672(e) was enacted in 1986 to curtail the use of "spousal remainder trusts." A spousal remainder trust pays trust income to a beneficiary (e.g., the grantor's child) for a term of years and, at the end of the term, the remainder passes to the grantor's spouse. Prior to 1986, the trust's income was taxed to the beneficiary because the trust was not treated as a grantor trust under Subpart E, because the grantor did not hold a reversion in the trust. The General Explanation of the 1986 Act provides: "In addition, many tax practitioners took the position that the application of the prior law grantor trust provisions could be avoided by having the prohibited powers or interests become effective in the spouse of the grantor (e.g., the spousal remainder trust)."

of a trust as its owner if the grantor or any person other than an adverse party has the power to revest title to trust property in the grantor. If the possibility that trust income might be distributed to an individual or that title to trust property might be revested in that individual is treated as an interest in the trust, § 672(e) could cause a trust the income or principal of which is payable or potentially payable to a grantor's former spouse to be treated as owned by the grantor if the grantor was married to the former spouse at any time that the power existed.

### **IMPACT OF THE REPEAL OF CODE § 682**

The repeal of § 682 exacerbates existing problems with the other provisions of Subpart E that apply when a grantor's former spouse has an interest in the trust. The application of § 682 to trusts that make payments to their grantors' former spouses eliminated much of the need to determine whether § 672(e) continues to apply to a grantor's spouse after a divorce by protecting the grantor from gross income inclusion when trust income was payable to a former spouse.

Section 682, in effect, overrode the grantor trust rules in Subpart E of Subchapter J (§§ 671-679) and established different rules for the treatment of distributions from grantor trusts to the grantor's former spouse that some believe might have been taxed to the grantor under §§ 676 or 677 because of the application of § 672(e)(1)(A).<sup>9</sup> The primary focus of these comments is on the interplay of those provisions. The repeal of § 682 highlights the importance of clarifying the consequences of a grantor's divorce or legal separation for purposes of applying these provisions of the grantor trust rules under Subpart E of Subchapter J.

The repeal of § 682 will have no effect on the impact of most of the provisions referred to above. This is true because § 682 operated only to shift the taxability of income payable to a former spouse from the grantor to the former spouse. It did not stop the operation of the grantor trust rules. For example, the repeal will have no effect on the application of the grantor trust rules to the extent grantor trust status is caused by the mere existence of a power held by a spouse or former spouse.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Code § 672(e).** We recommend that Treasury and the IRS address the question of whether the spousal unity rule ends upon the grantor's divorce. We believe that the ambiguity in the current law gives Treasury and the IRS the authority to promulgate regulations clarifying the scope of § 672(e). We also believe the ambiguity should be resolved in favor of terminating the application of § 672(e) once the spousal relationship has been terminated by decree of divorce or legal separation or by the execution of a separation agreement. The spousal unity rule is presumably based on a belief that spouses form a single economic unit. When the end of the marriage separates the unit there is no longer a reason for the rule to apply.

If Treasury and the IRS conclude that they do not have the authority to prevent the application of the spousal unity rule to taxpayers who are no longer married to each other, we recommend that they issue regulations that prevent it from applying to those provisions in Subpart E that are affected by the repeal of § 682. The sections affected by repeal of § 682 are §§ 676 and 677,

---

<sup>9</sup> Technically, § 682 does not terminate grantor trust status. It simply provides an exception from ordinary grantor trust treatment for distributions to former spouses.



because these sections treat a trust as a grantor trust when distributions of trust income or principal must or may be made to the grantor's spouse. We also recommend the issuance of regulations that provide that the internal rules within §§ 674(c) and 674(d), dealing with the consequences of powers held by spouses, should override § 672(e).

**Code § 674 (c) and (d).** Code § 674(a) provides that the grantor will be treated as the owner of a portion of a trust if the beneficial enjoyment of that portion is subject to a power exercisable by the grantor or a nonadverse party without the consent of an adverse party. Application of the spousal unity rule to § 674(a) causes grantor trust status, because of the existence of the marital relationship, in any situation in which the grantor's spouse holds any powers that the grantor could not hold without causing grantor trust status. There are several exceptions in § 674 to the application of the rule to powers when their exercise does not require the consent of an adverse party. Code § 674(d) is one of the exceptions.

Code § 674(d) provides that § 674(a) does not apply to a power solely exercisable (without the approval or consent of any other person) by a trustee or trustees, none of whom is the grantor or a "spouse living with the grantor," to distribute, apportion, or accumulate income: (a) to or for a beneficiary or beneficiaries, or (b) to, for, or within a class of beneficiaries, if such power is limited in either case by a reasonably definite external standard that is set forth in the trust instrument. Whether this exception is applicable when a former spouse continues to hold a power held during marriage is unclear. The text of the provision suggests that it should not apply, at least if the grantor and the grantor's spouse are not living together. On the other hand, to some § 672(e) seems to operate without regard to present marital status by imputing any power held by a former spouse who held a power during marriage to the grantor. The apparent conflict between the text of §§ 674(d) and 672(e) creates an ambiguity that could be resolved by regulation. We recommend the issuance of a regulation that resolves the ambiguity by providing that a power held by a former spouse will not be imputed to the grantor for purposes of the § 674(d) exception.

Similarly, § 674(c) provides that § 674(a) does not apply to a power held by certain persons, none of whom is the grantor. It further provides that for periods during which an individual is the spouse of the grantor, within the meaning of § 672(e)(2), a reference to the grantor is to be treated as a reference to the spouse. Section 672(e)(2) provides that an individual legally separated from his spouse under a decree of divorce or separate maintenance shall not be considered as married. Whether the § 674(c) exception is applicable when a former spouse continues to hold a power held during marriage is unclear. We recommend the issuance of a regulation that resolves this ambiguity by providing that a power held by a former spouse will not be imputed to the grantor for purposes of the § 674(c) exception.

**Code § 677.** Code § 677(a) originally provided that the grantor would be treated as the owner of any portion of a trust if the income from that portion may, without the consent of an adverse party, be distributed to or accumulated for future distribution to the grantor.

Code § 677(a) was amended in 1969 to provide that a grantor is also treated as the owner of any portion of a trust if income from the trust may be distributed to the grantor's spouse, or accumulated for future distribution to the grantor's spouse. This provision was described by the Staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation in its general explanation as follows:

The Act further provides that in the case of a trust created by a taxpayer for the benefit of his spouse, the trust income which may be used for the benefit of the spouse is to be taxed to the creator of the trust as it is earned. However, this provision does not apply where another provision of the Code requires the wife to include in her gross income the income from a trust.<sup>10</sup>

The Treasury regulations under § 677, which are consistent with the Joint Committee Staff explanation, state that § 677(a) affects income payable to the grantor's spouse "solely during the period of the marriage of the grantor to a beneficiary." Treas. Reg. § 1.677(a)-1(b)(2).<sup>11</sup>

Treas. Reg. § 1.677(a)-1(b)(2) was promulgated 15 years prior to the enactment of § 672(e)<sup>12</sup> and Congress would have been aware of these regulations in 1985 and 1988. If § 672(e) is deemed to have overruled this regulation, then the repeal of § 682 is likely to have serious and often unexpected consequences to divorced taxpayers who created trusts during their marriages for the benefit of now former spouses.

Moreover, § 672(e) is applicable only if the grantor's spouse holds an interest in or a power over a trust. In contrast, the operation of § 677 depends not on the possession of an interest or power by the grantor's spouse, but on the existence of another person's power to make distributions to the spouse. It is not clear, therefore, that § 672(e) was intended to apply for purposes of § 677. We recommend the issuance of a regulation that clarifies the scope of § 672(e) by providing that it does not apply for purposes of § 677. Instead, the continued application of the existing regulations under § 677, which limit its application to income payable to the grantor's spouse during the marriage, should be confirmed.<sup>13</sup>

**Code § 676.** Code § 676 provides that the grantor of a trust will be treated as the owner of any portion of a trust if the grantor or a nonadverse party has the power to revest title to that portion of the trust in the grantor.

If, during the grantor's marriage, the grantor's spouse acquired the power to revest title to a portion of the trust in the grantor, § 672(e) should apply to cause the trust to be treated as a grantor trust. Moreover, after divorce, if the former spouse continues to have this power, the trust should be treated as a grantor trust *unless* the former spouse has an interest in the trust that is adverse to his

---

<sup>10</sup> JCS-16-70 at page 119.

<sup>11</sup> Treas. Reg. § 1.677(a)-1(b)(2) also provides "[i]n the case of divorce or separation, see sections 71 and 682 and the regulations thereunder." This is merely a cross reference and does not mandate treatment under the Treasury regulation as being contingent on the continued application of §§ 71 and 682.

<sup>12</sup> T.D. 7148, 36 FR 20749, Oct. 29, 1971, as amended by T.D. 8668.

<sup>13</sup> If this recommendation is accepted, we also recommend a change to Example 10 in Treas. Reg. § 1.1361-1(k)(1). This example, which affects the eligibility of a trust for qualified subchapter S trust treatment, focuses on whether a trust created during a grantor's marriage that was treated as a grantor trust under section 677 because of required payments to the grantor's spouse will continue to be treated as a grantor trust after the grantor and the spouse are divorced. The example concludes that "[u]nder section 682, A ceases to be treated as the owner of the trust under section 677(a) because A and B are no longer husband and wife." The conclusion that the trust is no longer a grantor trust should be the same, but the basis of the conclusion should be the regulations under § 677 rather than the operation of § 682.

or her exercise of the power. We recommend the issuance of a regulation that confirms this conclusion.

As is the case with § 677, the operation of § 676 depends on the existence of another person's power to make trust distributions to the grantor or the grantor's spouse, not on the actual possession of any power or interest by the grantor or the grantor's spouse. Section 677's focus is on the power to distribute income while § 676's focus seems to be on the power to distribute the trust's original principal. Although an individual in whose favor a trustee can exercise such a power could be viewed as holding an interest in the trust, if this kind of power is treated as conferring an interest on the spouse for purposes of § 672(e), § 672(e) could cause § 676 to apply to a grantor because of a power of invasion exercisable in favor of a former spouse. After the repeal of § 682, trust income paid to the former spouse of a grantor would be taxed to the grantor. We believe it unlikely that this result was intended after the economic unity of the spouses is terminated with the termination of the marriage. We recommend the issuance of a regulation under § 672(e) that provides either (1) that a power held by a nonadverse party to transfer trust property to a spouse or former spouse of the grantor should not be treated as conferring the kind of *interest* in the trust that § 672(e) was intended to reach or (2) that § 672(e) does not apply to a § 676 spousal interest after the grantor and the grantor's spouse are no longer spouses within the meaning of § 672(e)(2).

#### **CONCLUSION**

ACTEC appreciates your consideration of these Comments in response to Notice 2018-37. ACTEC would be pleased to answer any questions and to work with the drafters in developing solutions to the issues raised in these Comments.